#### Using Independent Component Analysis to process near infrared hyperspectral images for detecting powder food adulteration

#### Puneet Mishra<sup>1</sup>, Christophe B.Y. Cordella<sup>2</sup>, Douglas N. Rutledge<sup>2</sup>, Pilar Barreiro<sup>3</sup>, Jean Michel Roger<sup>4</sup>, Belén Diezma<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Vision Lab, Campus Drie Eiken, University of Antwerp, Belgium
<sup>2</sup> UMR Ingénierie Procédés Aliments, AgroParisTech, Inra, Université Paris-Saclay, 91300 Massy, France
<sup>3</sup>LPF-Tagralia, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain
<sup>4</sup>Irstea, UMR ITAP, Montpellier, France







### **Blind Source Separation**



Different pure sources combined to make one mixed signal

Theory of ICA

- Each observed sensor signal is assumed to be weighted sum of pure source signals.
- Weighting coefficients are proportional to concentrations of pure compounds :

 $x_1 = a_{11} \times s_1 + a_{12} \times s_2$   $x_2 = a_{21} \times s_1 + a_{22} \times s_2$ 

• In matrix notation :

$$X = A \times S \qquad \dots (1)$$

#### Procedure

- ICA calculates a demixing matrix, W
- W approximates A<sup>-1</sup>, the inverse mixing matrix
- Pure component signals are recovered from measured mixed signals:

#### S=W×X

### Algorithm used

- Joint Approximation Diagonalization of Eigenmetrices (JADE) algorithm
- Based on fourth order moment (Kurtosis)
- Gaussian distributions possess zero excess kurtosis
- JADE seeks **rotation** of mixed vectors to estimate source vectors with high kurtosis values.



Perform ICA by splitting data into two blocks and comparing correlations of ICs extracted from the two data blocks.



## Peanut allergy

- Peanut allergy is a potentially life-threatening condition.
- European Directive **2003/89/EC** makes the labeling of all ingredients mandatory, especially food allergens used in the recipes of packaged foods.
- **Ubiquitous nature of peanut** in food industry makes dietary avoidance difficult, **a risk still persists**.





# Sample preparation

- Sample mixtures of peanut in wheat flour 0.05 % and 0.01 % by weight
- Size of the ground nuts **500-1000µm** (EU-Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements)
- Particle size of wheat flour **100-212µm**
- Aluminum platform for sample representation



## **Camera** specification

- Line-scan push-broom camera : HySpex ( SWIR 320m-e )
- Spectral range 1000–2500 nm
- Spectral sampling every 6 nm and 256 spectral bands.





• Pixel size **408** × **261** μm

Puneet Mishra, Belén Diezma and Pilar Barreiro Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

NIR hyperspectral imaging for detection of nut contamination



#### Hyperspectral camera setup

#### Spectral profiles of pure samples



(a). Mean spectra

(b). Spectra after treatment (SNV)

## Random ICAbyblocks

- Two blocks
- Performed up to **20 ICs**
- With **10** repetitions
- 7 ICs had high correlations



Red: High correlation

Blue: Low correlation

### IC signals



- IC1 related to non-chemical variation
- At every peak in pure spectra, IC1 is tending to zero
  - Bigger particle size of peanut could be a reason

•13

### IC2 and IC7 related to starch



(a). Starch (2100 nm)

(b). OH stretching Starch (1580 nm)

• Wheat flour has higher starch

1600

2000

1800

2000

2200

#### IC3 and IC6 related to moisture



(a). OH stretching (1940 nm)

(b). OH stretching (1450 nm)

• Wheat flour has higher moisture

### IC4 and IC5 related to fatty acid





(a). Amide function (2030 nm)

(b). Fatty acid and overtone (1734, 1395, 1200 nm)

Peanut has higher fatty acid and amide

## Synthetic Unmixing Signal

Calculate the difference between the sum of peanut ICs and the sum of wheat flour ICs

Signal (S) = IC1+IC4+IC5 - (IC2+IC3+IC6+IC7)





X = Unfolded hyperspectral image

S = Synthetic unmixing signal

S<sup>T</sup> = Transpose of synthetic signal

A = Proportions values

### Validation of synthetic signal

- A hyperspectral image with known position of peanut was simulated.
- Synthetic signal was tested for classification.
- **High contrast** was **obtained** for pixels representing peanuts.



## Image segmentation

- **Connected Component labeling** to detect pixels with enhanced contrast.
- Classification map generated with manual threshold.
- **Regionprop** function in Matlab was used to extract spatial locations

### Results

# Before processing (0.05 %)



### After processing



*Proportions images and extracted features image for 0.05% peanut traces in wheat flour (146 × 464 pixels).* 

### Before processing (0.01 %)



### After processing



*Proportions images and extracted features image for 0.01% peanut traces in wheat flour (146 × 464 pixels).* 

### Conclusions

- Detection of peanut traces was possible down to 0.01 %.
- ICA provided an easy understanding of underlying source signals
- Source signals can be easily used for classification and regression analysis.
- HSI with ICA can be used for quantitative prediction of the chemical constituents, with simultaneous representation of their spatial distribution.

### Thank you



#### Peanuts free zone!